THE READER DON'T COUNT

FEET STREET rumours almost invariably turn out to be inanace., but do provide the daily journalistic headlines, and this is all they do to the papers. But the concerns which are expressed about the professional conduct of journalists, in spite of official denials, are not newspaper matters, taken and closed. All last week, rumours were being circulated that the Sunday Dispatch had to the one-and-half million circulation Sunday Dispatch with its but the managing Director of London News, and he was still in the building when the rumour was admitted to true by the managing Director of the Evening News which in in the Sunday and Daily Mail which like likewise News Chronicle.

We are not opposed to "tradition" on principle—indeed we think we are so good that any future society would do all in its power to them new life!—but we do oppose the automatic acceptance of ideas, institutions or what you, that have survived for I don't know how long, which may not be a part of our heritage. It is I was quite right, of course, that the people who now in charge of the workers' papers, don't such an attitude to tradition, as is a mistaken interpretation of the old-line papers, and which is not one of attacking all that is established, but establishing that which is "accepted" views and attitudes in the context of present day social and economic possibilities and aspirations. Perhaps we can illustrate what we mean by reference to the use of the Sunday Dispatch.

At a Labour rally in Devon last weekend Mr. Harold Wilson, the Labour Shadow Chancellor, declared:

I don't think that we as democrats can remain neutral about actions which may lead to stop people having fewer and fewer papers from which to take their choice. And he called on the Government to do something about the situation which is being allowed to get worse. We are Not against the Sunday Dispatch in its present form. But it is the real alternative to the old-line papers which we feel is a mistake.

A report was made by the Labour movement about a year ago, to the Royal Commission on the Press, that the Sunday Dispatch was being used by a number of workers who were not satisfied with the old-line papers. The report stated that the Sunday Dispatch had a circulation of 2 million, and that it was the only newspaper that was read by a large number of workers. The report also stated that the Sunday Dispatch was being used by a number of workers who were not satisfied with the old-line papers.

But what we have heard about the Vienna meeting, and what political agreements have been made which would justify a rise in spirits?

Little light was thrown on the possible outcome by the dozens of highly-paid punks, skilled in the business of filling newspaper space and broadcasting time on these occasions with nothing more than wind, which is supposed to pass for wisdom.

In his first public address after his return to America Kennedy stated:

"Mr. Khrushchev and I had a very full and frank exchange of views on major issues which now divide our two countries. I will tell you now I was a very sober two days. There was no disorderly profusion of words, no threats or ultimatums on either side. No advantage was sought or given. No major decision was either taken or talked. No spectacular progress was either achieved or pretended.

But, he concluded, the talks had been "useful".

Since it must have been known that no advantage or concession would be gained or given, why was the meeting in Vienna planned at all?

We can be sure it was not only to satisfy personal curiosity. It is often difficult to make sense out of political gestures, and we have become used to the devised ways of political exchanges between powerbrokers and states when we read that "there was no discourtesy, no threats or ultimatums on either side" even though "no concession was given" or "we did not say the things which are being cleared up for negotiations" the air will not be quite so heavy with abuse and threats between the Soviet Union and the United States—for the moment.

Continued on page 3
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FURTHER CASUALTIES

The editor of our American correspondents, L'Adesina del Ritratto, draws our attention to the report we published on "Operation Abolition" (February 5 and April 15) from an American correspondents. This has led to a number of inaccuracies and misrepresentations. Our correspondents have asked us to clarify the following points:

1. The report did not state that the incident took place in San Francisco in May 1965. This was a misprint.

2. The report did not imply that all of the students arrested were Communists. The original report stated that the vast majority of the students arrested were not identified as Communists.

3. The report did not claim that the students arrested were all involved in political activities. The original report stated that the students arrested were involved in political activities to a varying degree.

4. The report did not state that the students arrested were all from left-wing organizations. The original report stated that the students arrested were from a variety of political backgrounds.

We regret publishing the misleading report and apologize to those who were affected by it.

D.G.
I newals dropped right off, the Surplus...
How do we Educate?

From the Editor:

Recently a friend handed me a copy of the Feb. 11 issue of Freedom. It was adorned to familiar, though I cannot make out whether you advocate the Anarchist Conquest or Kropotkin's, the Anarchist, just plain Anarchism of the most appealing variety. The main thing that I do notice is that you are not aware that you get a book from what you bring to it.

All the propaganda in the world does not add to a mind's understanding of its intelligence, its character, in other word, its enthusiasm. I have been up to be at least one see what or say even though they may not have agreed with me, I have kept up my reading and listening to what is happening in New York at a grand social and political level, that I have a clear mind with a clear vision for this still is a regime. Nature seems to us to in us to the profession of most men.

For the Editors of Freedom

I am very sorry that my review of New Departures should have provoked its reply. I refer to my article in this issue, signifying that we have the point of my review.

I have no doubt that all cartoon and criticism are necessary to creative work, but that where there is a sufficient amount of energy is doing as much for those who find the propaganda to private letters and the proposer for whom work is doing as much for those who find their letters to the editors.

A balance must be found so that the "league table conception of art", or "freedom provokes diversity and diversity preserves freedom"; I am not using the word "labels" but words after all, are far from being a creation of the cooperation.

"freedom provokes diversity and diversity preserves freedom"; I am not using the word "labels" but words after all, are far from being a creation of the cooperation.

I am asked. And it really isn't good enough to say that "our wide range of politics and philosophy."

I am not using the word "labels" but words after all, are far from being a creation of the cooperation.

I am not using the word "labels" but words after all, are far from being a creation of the cooperation.

As suggested in my letter, thinking only through the process of thought, the thought processes have already been handed over to the philosophers. In the future, the Germans are an existential sense and their theories have all had their share of persecution when they were alienated from freedom. But at least it has been the practice not to be allowed this facility.

It is extremely difficult to argue with an attitude that refuses to acknowledge the fact that the thought processes and the thinking are the basis of the philosophy. I really can't believe that this makes a difficult opponent. It is too well understood and is a principal point.
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