



PCR-test cannot be used to diagnose Covid (or any other viral) infections

Description

Keywords: [PCR factcheck, PCR Faktencheck, Signal detection theory, False positive rate, Statistical Power, Sample Size, PCR Test reliability, PCR Test Validity, PCR primers, PCR repetitive cycles, PCR ROC curve, Receiver-SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus Multiplex RT-qPCR Kit, Kary Mullis on (mis)use of PCR Test.]
(CD019RT)

This product is for research use only and is **not** intended for diagnostic use.

PRODUCT INFORMATION

Specificity	non-specific interference of Influenza A Virus (H1N1), Influenza B Virus (Yamagata), Respiratory Syncytial Virus (type B), Respiratory Adenovirus (type 1, type 7), Parainfluenza Virus (type 2), Mycoplasma Pneumoniae, Chlamydia Pneumoniae, etc.
Species Reactivity	Human
Application	Qualitative
Size	10CT

[Click to enlarge](#)

Noble laureate and PCR test inventor Kary Mullis (expressis verbis; see below for original video material):

“With PCR, if you do it well, you can find almost anything in anybody. It makes you believe in the Buddhist notion that everything is contained in everything else” (Kary Mullis was very outspoken about the scientific inspiration he derived from his LSD experiences; but see his book [“Getting down with the molecules”](#)).

The invalidity of the PCR method is especially significant when 45 repetition cycles are used, as stated in the original publication by Drosten et al. The generally excepted upper limit is at most 25-35 repetition cycles – everything above is completely unreliable as the proportion of false positives rises exponentially (see recommendations for [PCR Cycling Parameters](#)).

Quote: “Thermal cycling was performed at 55 °C for 10 min for reverse transcription, followed by 95 °C for 3 min and then **45 cycles** of 95 °C for 15 s, 58 °C for 30 s.”

Corman, V. M., Landt, O., Kaiser, M., Molenkamp, R., Meijer, A., Chu, D. K., Bleicker, T., Brünink, S., Schneider, J., Schmidt, M. L., Mulders, D. G., Haagmans, B. L., van der Veer, B., van den Brink, S., Wijsman, L., Goderski, G., Romette, J. L., Ellis, J., Zambon, M., Peiris, M., ... Drosten, C. (2020). Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Euro surveillance : bulletin



Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin, 25(3), 2000045.
doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045

Zitat von Kary Mullis (dem Erfinder der PCR): **“Wenn es gut gemacht wird dann kann man mit dem PCR Test so ziemlich alles in jedem finden. Es erinnert an die Buddhistische Sichtweise das alles in allem enthalten ist.”**

Mainstream scientific outlets deny the fact; c.f.: [in.reuters.com/article/uk-factcheck-pcr/fact-check-inventor-of-method-used-to-test-for-covid-19-didnt-say-it-cant-be-used-in-virus-detection-idUSKBN24420X](https://www.reuters.com/article/uk-factcheck-pcr/fact-check-inventor-of-method-used-to-test-for-covid-19-didnt-say-it-cant-be-used-in-virus-detection-idUSKBN24420X)

The PCR test is the crucial ‘domino stone’ on which all other fallacious conclusions rest (it forms the major premise of the quasi-syllogistic argument which is constantly presented to justify the neoliberal Corona measures which aggressively antagonise the middle-class). Given that all “Corona Lockdown Measures” are based on **inferential** PCR testing, this specific test thus lies at the very core of the invalid statistical conclusions which are currently propagated by the mass-media (i.e., systematic fearmongering to inhibit higher-order psychological processes; cf. [dual-process theories of thinking and reasoning](#)).

Expand Synopsis

- The German Corona Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee (Außerparlamentarischer Corona Untersuchungsausschuss), launched July 10, 2020, was founded by four trial attorneys to investigate and prosecute those responsible for implementing the economically devastating lockdowns around the world, as well as using fraudulent testing to engineer the appearance of a dangerous pandemic
- The Corona Extra-Parliamentary Inquiry Committee will be working with an international network of lawyers to argue the most massive tort case ever — a case described as “probably the greatest crime against humanity ever committed”
- They argue that pandemic measures were intended to sow panic so that the pharmaceutical and tech industries can generate huge profits from the sale of PCR tests, antigen and antibody tests and vaccines, and the harvesting of our genetic fingerprints
- Lockdowns were unnecessary, and any claim to the contrary is wrong, the Inquiry Committee insists. The virus was already in retreat and infection rates were starting to decline when lockdowns were imposed; scientific evidence shows a majority of people already have built-in protection against the virus due to cross-reactive T cell immunity, and the PCR test cannot be used to identify an active infection with SARS-CoV-2 or any other virus
- While mortality statistics during the pandemic have been within the norms of any given year, meaning the pandemic has not resulted in an excess number of deaths or a death toll higher than normal, the collateral damage from pandemic response measures is nearly incalculable.

Source URL:

articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2020/10/17/coronavirus-fraud-biggest-crime-against-humanity.aspx?cid_source=dnl&cid_medium=email&cid_content=art1HL&cid=20201017Z2&mid=DM6795

First of all, the PCR method has never been approved for diagnostic purposes. Its inventor, Kary Mullis, has repeatedly emphasised that this test should not be used as a diagnostic tool. This crucial fact is simply denied in public discourse. Listen to Kary Mullis for yourself – don’t fall victim to the



hype... Deutsche Version unten

<http://cognitive-liberty.online/wp-content/uploads/Kary-Mullis-explains-why-his-PCR-test-is-not-a-diagnostic-test.mp4>

<http://cognitive-liberty.online/wp-content/uploads/Erfinder-des-PCR-Tests-und-Nobelpreistrager-Kary-Mullis.mp4>
<http://cognitive-liberty.online/wp-content/uploads/PCR-Inventor-Kary-Mullis-Talks-About-Anthony-Fauci—he-doesnt-know-anything-really-about-anything-1.mp4>

As noted by Dr. Fuellmich:

"[PCR tests] are simply incapable of diagnosing any disease ... A positive PCR test result does not mean that an infection is present. If someone tests positive, it does not mean that they're infected with anything, let alone with the contagious SARS-CoV-2 virus. Even the United States CDC ... agrees with this and I quote directly from page 38 of one of its publications on the coronavirus and the PCR tests dated July 13 2020.

The PCR swabs take one or two sequences of a molecule that are invisible to the human eye and therefore need to be amplified in many cycles to make it visible. Everything over 35 cycles is ... considered completely unreliable and scientifically unjustifiable.

However, the Drosten test as well as the WHO recommended tests ... are set to 45 cycles. Can that be because of the desire to produce as many positive results as possible and thereby provide the basis for the false assumption that a large number of infections have been detected?"

"Even Drosten himself declared in an interview with a German business magazine in 2014 ... that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people may test positive," Fuellmich notes.

Dr. Yeadon, in agreement with the professors of immunology, Camera from Germany, Capel from the Netherlands and Cahill from Ireland as well as a microbiologist, Dr. Harvey from Austria, all of whom testified before the German corona committee, explicitly points out that a positive test does not mean that an intact virus has been found."

As explained by [Fuellmich](#), crimes against humanity, first defined during the Nuremberg trials following World War II, are today regulated in Section 7 of the International Criminal Code. The three questions the committee seeks to answer through judicial means are:

1. Is there a COVID-19 pandemic or is there only a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test pandemic?

Specifically, does a positive PCR test result mean that the individual is infected with SARS-CoV-2 and



has COVID-19, or does it mean absolutely nothing in connection with the COVID-19 infection?

2. Do pandemic response measures such as lockdowns, mask mandates, social distancing and quarantine regulations serve to protect the world's population from COVID-19, or do these measures serve only to make people panic?

Are these measures intended to sow "panic in order to make people believe, without asking any questions, that their lives are in danger, so that the pharmaceutical and tech industries can generate huge profits from the sale of PCR tests, antigen and antibody tests and vaccines, as well as the harvesting of our genetic fingerprints?"

3. Is it true that the German government was massively lobbied — more so than any other country — by the chief protagonists of this COVID-19 pandemic?

According to Fuellmich, Germany "is known as a particularly disciplined country and was therefore to become a role model for the rest of the world for its strict and, of course, successful adherence" to pandemic measures.

Answers to these questions are urgently needed, he says, because SARS-CoV-2, which is touted as one of the most serious threats to life in modern history, "has not caused any excess mortality anywhere in the world."

Ai, T., Yang, Z., Hou, H., Zhan, C., Chen, C., Lv, W., Tao, Q., Sun, Z., & Xia, L. (2020). Correlation of Chest CT and RT-PCR Testing for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: A Report of 1014 Cases. *Radiology*. doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200642

Xie, X., Zhong, Z., Zhao, W., Zheng, C., Wang, F., & Liu, J. (2020). Chest CT for Typical Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Feature Detection and Patient Stratification. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. doi.org/10.1093/annals/ampaa026



vitro and with clinical specimens. In *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00310-20

Wang, S., Guo, L., Chen, L., Liu, W., Cao, Y., Zhang, J., & Feng, L. (2020). A case report of neonatal COVID-19 infection in China. *Clin Infect Dis*. doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa225



Cheng, Z., Lu, Y., Cao, Q., Qin, L., Pan, Z., Yan, F., & Yang, W. (2020). Clinical features and chest CT manifestations of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in a single-center study in Shanghai, China. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. doi.org/10.2214/AJR.20.22959

Lim, J., Jeon, S., Shin, H. Y., Kim, M. J., Seong, Y. M., Lee, W. J., Choe, K. W., Kang, Y. M., Lee, B., &

[Expand References](#)

[Close Synopsis](#)

Category

1. Covid
2. General

Tags

1. corona fact-check
2. corona faktencheck
3. corona fraud
4. diagnosis
5. fact check
6. factcheck
7. false positive
8. kary mullis factcheck
9. Kerry Mullis
10. PCR
11. pcr fact-check
12. pcr factcheck
13. pcr fraud
14. pcr reliability corona
15. pcr test cycles
16. statistical power

Date Created

November 2020

Author

web45